

United States Court of Appeals
FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA CIRCUIT

No. 16-5212

September Term, 2016

1:16-cv-01120-UNA

Filed On: December 1, 2016

Christopher Scott Barksdale,

Appellant

v.

United States of America, et al.,

Appellees

**ON APPEAL FROM THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA**

BEFORE: Srinivasan and Wilkins, Circuit Judges,
and Ginsburg, Senior Circuit Judge

J U D G M E N T

This appeal was considered on the record from the United States District Court for the District of Columbia and on the brief and appendix filed by appellant. See Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2); D.C. Cir. Rule 34(j). It is

ORDERED AND ADJUDGED that the district court's orders filed June 15 and August 11, 2016 be affirmed. The court correctly held that district courts lack authority to review decisions of other district courts, and appellant failed to state a claim upon which relief may be granted concerning the matters discussed in his complaint. It is

FURTHER ORDERED that the request for "a separate Injunction order" and damages contained in appellant's brief be denied. Appellant has not shown any grounds for an award of such relief by this court.

United States Court of Appeals

FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA CIRCUIT

No. 16-5212

September Term, 2016

Pursuant to D.C. Circuit Rule 36, this disposition will not be published. The Clerk is directed to withhold issuance of the mandate herein until seven days after resolution of any timely petition for rehearing or petition for rehearing en banc. See Fed. R. App. P. 41(b); D.C. Cir. Rule 41.

Per Curiam

FOR THE COURT:

Mark J. Langer, Clerk

BY: /s/
Ken Meadows
Deputy Clerk